CLUE Symposium 2023

Understanding freight fluidity on arterial roads and its correlation with collisions

Prateek Jain MASc. Candidate, York University

 11^{th} May, 2023

creative	passionate	rational	confident	ingenious





Analysis 🔁 Future Work





FREIGHT FLUIDITY

The term 'Freight Fluidity' represents a quantitative performance measure of multi-modal supply chains in a geographic area of interest to inform decision making (Transport Canada).





Image references: [1] CBC. 2017. 'Quick clear squads' to be a permanent fixture on Toronto's busiest roadways, city says | CBC News. [online] Available at: <https://www.cbc.ca/news/canada/toronto/toronto-traffic-gridlock-quick-clear-squads-1.4389008> [2] The Conversation. 2016. More online shopping means more delivery trucks. Are cities ready?. [online] Available at: <https://theconversation.com/more-online-shopping-means-more-delivery-trucks-are-cities-ready-67686



COLLISIONS

Desired Goal:

Eliminate all traffic fatalities and severe injuries, while increasing safe, healthy, equitable mobility for all

In simple words....**no** loss of life is acceptable





RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

GOAL

- >Help policy makers and transport officials in Region of Peel make better informed decisions to avoid delays and enhance freight mobility
- Establish spatial correlations (if any) between mobility and collision

OBJECTIVES

- Identify the areas of concern which constrain the movement of trucks along key trade routes
- >Evaluate the mobility measures to study severity and impact
- Provide a foundation for fluidity analysis in Peel Region



SCOPE

STUDY AREA

Arterial Roads within the Region of Peel

TRANSPORTATION MODE

Freight transportation

TIME WINDOW

Pre-Pandemic (2019)
During Pandemic (2020)
24-hour



MOBILITY MEASURES

I. Travel Time Index

TTI = Average travel time / Free flow travel time

2. Planning Time Index

PTI = 95th percentile travel time / Free flow travel time

3. Buffer Index

BI = (95th percentile travel time – Average travel time) / Average travel time



SOURCE OF INFORMATION

- 1. Arterial Road Classification
- > Source: Streets data portal Region of Peel

2. <u>Collision Data</u>

Source: Permanent Count Station (PCS) data from Region of Peel Open Data portal

- 3. Travel Time Delay Calculation
- Source: Traffic feeds obtained from HERE data using the Freight Data Warehouse





METHODOLOGY

I. Focus on Arterials

2. Dashboard

3. Consideration of Pandemic

4. Correlation with Collision





DASHBOARD

Data Import

• Python Scripting

Transformat ion

 Geospatial joins between collision and mobility measures data.

Visualizati on ArcGIS Dashboard





DASHBOARD



User can change the temporal values – Hourly, Monthly, Yearly or Time Period

Dashboard Link: https://www.arcgis.com/apps/dashboards/5497a911418240b9ab8610ba7bd8845d





MOBILITY MEASURES - COMPARISON



Inference

> TTI decreased by 2.8% between 2019 and 2020, thereby showing less congestion around Pearson Airport.



WARD LEVEL ANALYSIS







COLLISION VS MOBILITY CORRELATION

Ward	Municipality	TTI vs Collision	PTI vs Collision	BI vs Collision	No. of collisions
1	Brampton	0.414	0.809	0.825	18
2	Brampton	0.951	0.877	0.534	4
3	Brampton	0.078	0.194	0.285	56
4	Brampton	-0.532	-0.543	-0.568	4
5	Brampton	0.515	0.499	0.476	14
6	Brampton	0.343	0.459	0.490	25
7	Brampton	-0.079	-0.073	-0.045	26
8	Brampton	-0.083	-0.151	-0.153	87
9	Brampton	0.245	-0.127	-0.218	14
10	Brampton	0.573	-0.162	-0.112	13
11	Caledon	N/A	N/A	N/A	4
12	Caledon	0.211	0.333	0.465	20
13	Caledon	N/A	N/A	N/A	2
14	Caledon	0.192	0.360	0.348	20
15	Caledon	-0.083	0.409	0.642	34
16	Mississauga	-0.153	-0.157	-0.079	19
17	Mississauga	0.957	0.981	0.984	6
18	Mississauga	0.923	0.995	0.999	4
19	Mississauga	0.900	0.564	-0.079	4
20	Mississauga	-0.004	0.116	0.168	160
21	Mississauga	N/A	N/A	N/A	2
22	Mississauga	N/A	N/A	N/A	4
23	Mississauga	-0.211	0.257	0.341	6
24	Mississauga	-0.383	-0.368	-0.272	7
25	Mississauga	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
26	Mississauga	0.198	0.264	0.272	20

Correlation between Collisions and Mobility Measures for all wards in Peel Region.



SPATIAL AUTOCORRELATION – MORAN'S I



Spatial Autocorrelation Report

Given the z-score of 1.738651, there is a less than 10% likelihood that this clustered pattern could be the result of random chance.

Global Moran's I Summary

Moran's Index:	0.163654
Expected Index:	-0.043478
Variance:	0.014193
z-score:	1.738651
p-value:	0.082096

Year	Collision	TTI	PTI	BI
2019	-0.002224	0.19084	0.36364	0.29140
		3	1	2
2020	0.141994	0.24190	0.16549	0.16365
		7	2	4

- > Moran's Index is positive for values showing high level of spatial cluster .
- When high values repel other high values, Moran's Index will be negative





FUTURE WORK

Synthesize the relationship between performance measures and collisions



Consider other factors in the study area

Expand the research beyond Region of Peel



FUTURE WORK

Expand the research beyond Region of Peel

Enable real-time data update in the dashboard

bolster the correlation hypothesis by comparing results from other cities

Suggest improvements to areas of concerns





ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

□ City Logistics for the Urban Economy (CLUE)

□ York University

Smart Freight Centre

NSERC Alliance

□ Region of Peel



CLUE Symposium 2023

Understanding freight fluidity on arterial roads and its correlation with collisions

Prateek Jain MASc. Candidate, York University

 11^{th} May, 2023

creative	passionate	rational	confident	ingenious